Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

- de la manage de	7 4F(e)		
10/600,643	ERHARDT, EDUARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chirag R. Patel	2454		

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Chirag R. Patel</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Jason E. Becker (Reg #66,632)</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>January 4th, 2012</u> .				
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant [applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	□ No.			
Issues Discussed				
Claim(s) discussed: 26 and 27.				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)				
Proposed examiner to include allowable subject matter for claim 26 and fix formality issues for claim 27, applicants authorized examiner's amendment.				
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	rovide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.		
Examiner recordation instructions. Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general timust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other perfinent matters discussed regarding behalbility and the general instant of such control of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.				
☐ Attachment				
/Chirag R Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454				
S. Patent and Trademark Office				